EN

the Defender®
CHILDREN'S HEALTH DEFENSE NEWS & VIEWS

Download for Free: Robert F. Kennedy's New Book — 'A Letter to Liberals'

05/09/23 • BIG PHARMA › NEWS

Is WHO a Front Organization for the Takeover of U.S. Government?

Analysts warn that the ratification of the World Health Organization's (WHO) pandemic treaty and amendments to the International Health Regulations could strip away sovereignty from nation-states and place public health decision-making power in the hands of the WHO and its director-general.

By **Michael Nevradakis, Ph.D.**

66

Miss a day, miss a lot. *Subscribe to The Defender's Top News of the Day.* ***It's free.***

Editor's note: *This is part one of a two-part series on how the World Health Organization's proposed pandemic treaty and amendments to the International Health Regulations could strip nations and people of their health decision-making sovereignty.*

The World Health Organization's (WHO) World Health Assembly (WHA) will convene May 21-30 in Geneva, Switzerland, to discuss the proposed "pandemic treaty" and amendments to the International Health Regulations (IHR).

Many analysts have warned that the ratification of either or both of these instruments would diminish or completely strip away sovereignty from nation-states and place public health decision-making power in the hands of the WHO and its director-general.

Details around how this might happen in the U.S., however, are difficult to pinpoint — partly because of the secrecy surrounding negotiations and partly because of the complex combination of domestic and international law that would have to come into play in order to strip U.S. sovereignty.

"If these amendments and if this pandemic treaty are passed, then that would basically be the end of our national sovereignty," said lawyer Reggie Littlejohn, co-founder of the Sovereignty Coalition, founder and president of Women's Rights Without Frontiers and co-chair of the Stop Vaccine Passports Task Force.

Francis Boyle, J.D., Ph.D., professor of international law at the University of Illinois and a bioweapons expert who drafted the Biological Weapons Anti-Terrorism Act of 1989, agreed. He described the WHO's proposed instruments as "an attempt to take over the United States of America by using the WHO as a front for that purpose."

"This is being backed by the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Bill Gates, the Chinese communist dictatorship, Big Pharma and the biowarfare industry," Boyle said.

Boyle said there's been so much opposition to the dictates coming out of Washington over the handling of the pandemic "that these elites have decided to go to the WHO and use the WHO as a front organization to accomplish its objectives."

Author and podcast host Frank Gaffney, founder and president of the Center for Security Policy and co-founder of the Sovereignty Coalition, named some other key actors supporting the WHO proposals. He said:

> "It's a little unclear how this is going to play out, but it seems the folks who are bringing this to us, not just the WHO but the Chinese Community Party, Bill Gates, Big Pharma and the World Economic Forum — and not least of course, the U.S. government — are determined to try to jam the thing through, preferably without anybody really knowing that it's going on, let alone what the implications of it are going to be for our country and for freedom-loving people around the world."

Boyle, who has studied treaties going back to the First Hague Peace Conference of 1899, said the pandemic treaty "will automatically come into effect immediately upon its signature," adding that he knows of no such treaty in existence today that does this.

"You will have a medical global police state issuing orders all the way down here in the United States," Boyle said, "contravening state local health authorities, your governor, your local attorney general, your local surgeon general, and contravening all your democratically elected officials to accomplish this."

The IHR amendments will deliver the same result via different means, and "will give these totalitarian dictatorial powers to Dr. Tedros [WHO director-general]," Boyle said.

Independent journalist and researcher James Roguski said one way this erosion of sovereignty will be accomplished is through the power of the purse. He said:

> "I have dug up previously in the Foreign Affairs Manual of the United States that any treaty negotiations have to take into account the budgetary requirements of the agreement … They're supposed to be consulting with Congress about that.
>
> "And so, it has to be implemented into law to fund it. And if you're agreeing to spend billions of dollars, that's essentially taking away the right of the people to decide through Congress how money is spent. That seems to be an attack on sovereignty over how you spend your money."

According to Littlejohn, "If you put these two instruments together … what you end up with is the establishment of a global biomedical totalitarian surveillance state. And it works in a number of ways."

According to the most recent draft of the IHR amendments, Littlejohn said, "They want to strike the word 'non-binding,' so that the IHR would become binding and the WHO would go from being an advisory body to a regulatory body."

The proposal to remove the term "non-binding" came from Bangladesh, Littlejohn said, adding that there is "language in the IHR amendments that allows the WHO to step in and take power even without declaring a pandemic or a public health emergency. It just has to be a potential public health risk."

Roguski said the EU proposed this provision, which refers to the recognition of a potential "pandemic situation" as opposed to an actual pandemic.

Littlejohn also cited provisions of the pandemic treaty (page 23, article 17), allowing the WHO "to tackle false, misleading misinformation or disinformation" in the name of strengthening pandemic or public health literacy.

"[In subsection B], it says that the parties … agree to conduct regular social listening and analysis to identify the prevalence and profiles of misinformation … So, this is surveillance and censorship that's being set up in the treaty," she added.

Writing for The Daily Sceptic, Dr. David Bell, a public health physician and biotech consultant and former director of global health technologies at Intellectual Ventures Global Good Fund, said the two proposed WHO instruments "aim to undo centuries of democratic reform that based sovereignty with individuals, and by extension their state."

An 'end run' around state and local control?

It's not clear exactly how the proposed pandemic treaty and IHR amendments will be used to supersede U.S. domestic law, according to Boyle. But he said it likely will be accomplished through a combination of several domestic laws, documents, legal provisions and court rulings.

The first of these documents is a joint resolution by both houses of Congress authorizing the U.S. to join the WHO, Boyle said, explaining that a joint resolution of Congress is just like a statute. "After the joint resolution was ratified, President Truman signed the WHO Constitution and it was sent into the depositary," Boyle said.

What this means, according to Boyle, is that "the WHO Constitution … is just like a treaty that has received the advice and consent of two-thirds of the Senate and is the supreme law of the land under Article 6 of the U.S. Constitution … so it didn't really matter that the Senate did not give its advice and consent by a two-thirds vote."

Article 19 of the WHO Constitution gives the WHA the authority to adopt conventions or agreements — such as the pandemic treaty — by a two-thirds vote," Boyle said.

Because there isn't a quorum requirement in the WHA, "It could be a very small number of states that actually show up," Boyle said. As a result, "the chair of the WHA … could get up and say, 'I move for it to be adopted by consensus if no one dissents,' and that's that."

The WHO Constitution circumvents the U.S. Congress via its provision that any treaty "can provisionally come into force after approval by the WHA," Boyle said.

According to Boyle, this violates the WHO and U.S. Constitutions and also "normal practice under the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties," an international agreement governing treaties between states, which he said, "does not provide for treaties to provisionally come into force after they are signed or approved."

Littlejohn noted that not only can any treaty "provisionally come into force" after WHA approval, but portions of treaties or agreements also can. As a result, "in whole or in part, the pandemic treaty can be applied provisionally … it's possible that certain parts of the treaty" might be passed this month and could be brought to force provisionally.

Malaysia proposed a change to Article 42 of the IHR amendments, saying that recommendations have to be implemented "as soon as possible," Boyle said. As a result, "If you have to implement recommendations, they've suddenly become binding. That gives up sovereignty and freedoms all over the place."

Another document that can be used to strip the U.S. of its sovereignty is the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2023 (NDAA), Boyle said. This law includes language "to facilitate national capacity to comply with and adhere to … other relevant frameworks that contribute to global health security."

"The Biden administration can take the position that because of the NDAA of 2023, it can simply go right ahead and provisionally adhere to the terms of the pandemic treaty without the advice and consent of the Senate," Boyle said. "The moment it gets reported out of the WHA, Biden can say 'I'm invoking the NDAA' to comply with and adhere to 'other relevant frameworks that contribute to global health security.'"

According to Littlejohn, the language stating that the U.S. is "obligated to comply with and adhere to any relevant framework that contributes to global health security" likely includes both WHO instruments. "They can argue that … this passed through Congress … we've already agreed to 'adhere to and comply with,'" Littlejohn said.

Boyle added the NDAA also includes the Global Pandemic Prevention and Biosecurity Act of 2022, which "paves the way for the IHR amendments and this treaty." He argued that, through this, "the U.S. Congress has already given its authorization to both the IHR amendments and the treaty."

These provisions would circumvent the 10th Amendment to the U.S. Constitution, which says that police powers reside in the hands of the states, not the federal government.

"Police powers include public health," Boyle said, "so the Biden administration could take the position immediately upon approving the WHA treaty, that we could provisionally bring that whole treaty into force, and that circumvents the 10th Amendment," under the aegis of the NDAA, via the Constitution's Supremacy Clause.

Boyle cited two Supreme Court decisions, U.S. v. Belmont (1937) and U.S. v. Pink (1942), "where the Supreme Court upheld executive agreements as the constitutional equivalent of treaties when it comes to circumventing the 10th Amendment to the U.S. Constitution — that's the danger here."

According to Boyle, though the current IHR, enacted in 2005, "were only recommended," the U.S. government and U.S. Department of State nevertheless "took the position that this was a binding international agreement, that they listed in a publication called U.S. Treaties in Force."

"They're still there today," Boyle said, "which means that even though they have not received the advice and consent of two-thirds of the Senate, nevertheless they were approved by the executive branch of government in accordance with the WHO Constitution, which in turn had been approved by this joint resolution of Congress."

Boyle argued that "this whole process … was produced by the fact that there was a lot of domestic opposition here in the U.S. on a state and local basis to all the orders coming out of Washington, D.C. … including the lockdowns and the vaccine mandates."

As a result, said Boyle, "seeing how much opposition there was to these totalitarian measures under the COVID pandemic, they decided to do an end run around all that state and local opposition and the 10th Amendment and state and local governments, by going to the WHO and turning all this into an international treaty or agreement."

"They can then come back here and compel state and local governments and democratically elected officials to comply with that international agreement," he said.

"The bottom line is, the Biden administration is behind all of this, and they are the ones who definitely intend to carry it out," Boyle said. "The NDAA, the treaty and the regulations are part of a package to steamroll at least the IHR amendments right on through. It's clear that Fauci and the State Department … are behind all of this, it's all being coordinated and they're going to do it."

Subscribe to The Defender - It's Free!

First Name.. Last Name.. Email.. SIGN UP

SUGGEST A CORRECTION

Michael Nevradakis, Ph.D.
Michael Nevradakis, Ph.D., based in Athens, Greece, is a senior reporter for The Defender and part of the rotation of hosts for CHD.TV's "Good Morning CHD."

Sign up for free news and updates from Children's Health Defense. CHD focuses on legal strategies to defend the health of our children and obtain justice for those injured. We can't do it without your support

Republishing Guidelines

Children's Health Defense Comment Policy
We welcome relevant and respectful comments. Off-topic comments may be removed.
Please read our Comment Policy before commenting.
Got it

66 Comments 1 Login ▾

Join the discussion…

LOG IN WITH OR SIGN UP WITH DISQUS ?

Name

♡ 12 • Share Best Newest Oldest

Polly Green
2 days ago
I hate to say that even here in "freedom loving" Tennessee, governor Bill Lee signed an executive order (number 83) in 2021 that made no sense to me unless viewed through a global lens. It allowed for involuntary psychiatric commitment after as little as a phone call from a psychiatric nurse—even one who doesn't know the potential "patient," which sounded like the Soviets and Nazis tossing people into "psychiatric hospitals" for being anti-revolutionary. Also allowed for FEMA-style camps similar to the ones built in Australia for violators of their draconian lockdown. Allowed for military personnel with medical training to act as prescribers and also granted them the ability to call for "confinement" using only their own judgement, IOW no due process. Yet people said I was crazy to be concerned. But the reality is that nobody signs any orders without the intention of seeing the orders implemented. Period.
Resist.
43 0 Reply • Share ›

DW Pendergast → Polly Green
2 days ago
Glad I said #TenneSeeYa as I crossed the TN/GA border on my way to Florida - after living in that horrible state from 2005 to 2015.
4 0 Reply • Share ›

vilamoureux → DW Pendergast
a day ago
Florida is just as bad, DeSantis is just better at sweeping it under the rug. DeSantis recently went to a foreign nation to sign a bill that limits the 1st Amendment rights of all Floridians and criminalizes critique of that foreign nation or its nationals. I don't know what he got out of it but in my opinion restricting the constitutional rights of the citizens you are supposed to serve to please a foreign nation is treason. What other constitutional rights is he going to take from the citizens he is supposed to serve at the behest of foreign nations?
3 0 Reply • Share ›

SEK → vilamoureux
a day ago
I watch DeSantis carefully these days....
0 0 Reply • Share ›

Polly Green → DW Pendergast
a day ago
I left northern Virginia for Tennessee, literally rolling out of metro DC on Jan 6, 2021. I couldn't get basic medical care because I couldn't wear the stupid blue mask without passing out from rebreathing my own CO2 (I'm on oxygen). In Tennessee I wasn't required to mask and it's a constitutional carry state as well. No property taxes either, but when you're remodeling a house you'll pay plenty in retail sales taxes along the way! All in all, I'm glad I'm here. I grew up in SoCal and can't stand the FL heat so TN is a good compromise. I just hope that when the Tennessee National Guard is mobilized they remember that most residents of the state own at least two weapons.
0 0 Reply • Share ›

ryan → Polly Green
2 days ago
Yeah. TN is on the path to be CA. This is a multi decade plan. This isn't you and your life planning a vacation 2 months out. This is planning vacations decades out. I mean DUH.
4 0 Reply • Share ›

Polly Green → ryan
a day ago
I'm not near Nashville or Memphis. I'm in a semi-rural area of East Tennessee. It matters. Not much craziness on this end of the state.
0 0 Reply • Share ›

CornPop → ryan
a day ago
Not bragging, but Minnesota is on a fast track to beat TN as the next California.
0 0 Reply • Share ›

Ricky Ricardo → Polly Green
2 days ago
Here in Canada...I always thought that admitting a person to a psychiatric facility for evaluation, required the signature of two (2) psychiatrists. Then again; we're living in the "New Abnormal"!
3 0 Reply • Share ›

Polly Green → Ricky Ricardo
a day ago
I believe it varies from state to state in the US, but it's apparently quite easy to claim that someone's a "danger to themselves" in order to get a three day commitment. A friend of mine from college is now a big city hospital neurologist and tells me that it's shockingly common for people to "taint" a spouse with a three day commitment prior to, say...a divorce being filed, or something similar. Also an easy way to seize a relative's home.
1 0 Reply • Share ›

Carol C → Polly Green
a day ago
It seems like all levels of government are complicit in destroying this country from the WH right down to your local school boards. This is sickening and a kick in the balls for all those who have fought and given there all for this country and freedom. I'll be standing and fighting back till the death rather then bowing down to this tyranny.
1 0 Reply • Share ›

Peter Orphanides → Polly Green
2 days ago
That sounds extremely dangerous and a potential way of misusing the mental health system to retaliate against dissent.

Anything that can be done about this?
1 0 Reply • Share ›

Polly Green → Peter Orphanides
a day ago
Not that I'm aware of, but I'm not an attorney. Involuntary commitment is an extremely big cudgel to wield against dissenters. It goes hand in hand with "red flag" 2a laws, and Gov Bill Lee also supports those "common sense" gun laws. If you want to collect guns, the phone call from a psychiatric nurse combined with a vastly enlarged list of "dangerous prescription medications" which includes things used for autoimmune disorders and anxiety and you could round up plenty of people and their hardware and toss the keys to the asylum into the nearest lake.
0 0 Reply • Share ›

seahawk
2 days ago
I will not be complying with any forced medical decisions made by rogue organizations. Yes I would rather die first.
19 0 Reply • Share ›

Judith Marlowe → seahawk
a day ago
You should not have to consider death as a decision. What all Americans have to do is wake up, stop voting party, know what the real news is and vote. Simply put time for everyone to get a spine and stop being pushed around. Gates is a full blown commie. Most of the democratic party leadership is corrupt and doesn't care about this country.
1 0 Reply • Share ›

E_via
2 days ago
Excellent reporting that clears up exactly how sovereignty would be stripped from us, rather than just saying it will happen but not explaining exactly how. This is a really really important piece and every Congressional representative and every elected or public official that claims to be on our side needs to see this.
16 0 Reply • Share ›

Dr. Kenneth Stoller
2 days ago
The US government has already been subject to several silent Coups - the bankers coup of 1913, the CIA coup on 11/22/63, the NeoCon Deep State coup of 9/11 and last but not least, the election of 2020, but in truth there has not been a fair election in the last 200 years.

So, the WHO/NWO coup is fully operational with the Manchurian POTUS. They have opened the border, so there is no border anymore, they are destroying both energy and food infrastructures and de-dollarization is just around the corner.

So, stop wondering about a WHO coup and get up to speed... this is not the country you think it is.
16 0 Reply • Share ›

bernard b carman
2 days ago
it's beyond obvious that the STATE is entirely corrupt beyond reform. we all need to resist all such violations upon our Natural Rights.
16 0 Reply • Share ›

SYakoban
2 days ago
WHO - WEF - CCP

See it happening now: https://michaelyon.locals.com/
16 0 Reply • Share ›

Sebastian → SYakoban
2 days ago
You can add Democrat Party.
3 0 Reply • Share ›

Ricky Ricardo
2 days ago
You all need to expand your minds...No; they don't want to take over the U.S. - They want to take over this entire civilization! It's not only the WHO. The WHO, WEF and UN are "all in this together" - **Global Pandemic Treaty**, **The Great Reset** and **Agenda 2030**. Get it? Do I even have to mention the Transhumanism aspect? You can't separate them. It's all one evil, global agenda!
15 0 Reply • Share ›

Jen
2 days ago
The WHO can drop dead, for I am a Free and Sovereign Being. God "Source" made me free and free I will always be.
15 0 Reply • Share ›

Ave Bkr
2 days ago
That's what globalists want to do... One Health, One World, under global totalitarian control, a prison planet.
14 0 Reply • Share ›

Ektor57
2 days ago
The butchers and parasites of WHO running the US? Them's fighting words.
13 0 Reply • Share ›

Michael
2 days ago
More usurpation of authority.
Violates the U.S. Constitution. No one can cede U.S. sovereign authority. They can try, but it won't survive legal challenges.
13 0 Reply • Share ›

BarbaraCharis
2 days ago
Is WHO a front organization...? Many know how corrupt it is... It is tied to the globalist agenda to take over the world. The most stupid thing we did was have any connection to the UN and WHO. Those who run it... are connected to many countries that hate our country...and would like to get rid of America. The only thing they want from America - our money!
12 0 Reply • Share ›

Aurora Nite → BarbaraCharis
2 days ago
And military forces to fight their wars.
3 0 Reply • Share ›

Jennifo → Aurora Nite
a day ago
Not all military are bad & go in to kill. Just as not all Drs, nurses, teachers,, cops are bad. Most go in with good intentions & to make a living then are sorely made aware they might've been misinformed.
0 0 Reply • Share ›

Cindy
2 days ago
I keep asking... what can we do? Honestly, I feel absolutely powerless, because when you see these "elites" in action, they always seem to get what they want, with biased and corrupt judges on their side.
10 0 Reply • Share ›

Beth → Cindy
2 days ago
Here's one thing you can do, Cindy and EVERYONE:

Everyone, click on the Sovereignty Coalition link in the fourth paragraph and sign the petition to EXIT the WHO!

The same link also lets you send the message to your legislators.

P { margin-bottom: 0.08in }
4 0 Reply • Share ›

Nod
2 days ago
Bittersweet to see it happen; after being called conspiracy theorist since Kissinger talked about the New World Order so long ago, and peaked my interest in the concept of globalism.

The genocide was expected. They invent many ways to kill all of us. While the junkies line up.
9 0 Reply • Share ›

Maureen Richmond
2 days ago edited
Atrocious.
9 0 Reply • Share ›

Louke
2 days ago
Boyle, Littlejohn, Bell, Roguski, Gaffney on the money once again as always, as is The Defender. Great job getting this information out there.
8 0 Reply • Share ›

Karen Bracken
2 days ago
It is against the law for the US to agree to any international agreement that violates the US constitution. Just like any law passed at the federal level that violates the constitution is not a law the citizens must obey. So our government can violate the constitution by agreeing to the Pandemic Treaty (CA+) and the 307 amendments to the IHR but the states do not have to follow any of it. It is called Nullification and the states and the people need to start using that tool we have in order to stop the federal government from violating our constitution. God, We The People, Nullification will save us. Do not be fooled by those trying to convince people that Nullification is illegal. It has been used many times and it is a constitutionally legal process.
7 0 Reply • Share ›

Jill Herendeen → Karen Bracken
a day ago
Yeah, tell that to my state senators! THEY're all for it! And they probably expect to keep getting their nice fat cushy salaries, too.
0 0 Reply • Share ›

Kj Bohmgarden
2 days ago
Here is my public comment sent to oga.rsvp@hhs.gov .

The ratification by the WHO of the "Pandemic Treaty" and amendments to

Donate Now
You make the difference.

Latest News



'Absolutely Reckless': NIH Allows EcoHealth Alliance to Resume Coronavirus Research



'Just Like Regular Pork': FDA Grants 'Investigational' Approval for Pork Products Made From Gene-Edited Pigs



More Pesticides on Kids' Food? EPA Wants to Greenlight Chemical Linked to Reproduction, Developmental Issues

MORE NEWS

Latest Views



Chemical in Popular Bug Spray Brands May Cause Neurodevelopmental Damage

Top 20 'Nonprofit' Hospitals Pocketed $23 Billion in Taxpayer-Funded COVID Aid



Can We Reboot the Environmental Movement — So It Protects Freedom, Too?

MORE VIEWS

Get FREE News & Updates!
First Name..
Last Name..
Email..
SIGN UP

Get Text Alerts
Sign up for breaking news from The Defender.
Currently only eligible for numbers in the U.S. and Canada.
1234567890
Phone
REQUIRED. Phone number must be in a standard 10-digit format: 1234567890 or 123-456-7890
CONTINUE

The ratification by the WHO of the "Pandemic Treaty" and amendments to the IHR would put an end to the sovereignty of the WHO's member states and their citizens. Increased ill health (presaged by that created by responses of governments to COVID) will be only the first result of placing exclusive power to make global health decisions in the hands of unelected and unethical bureaucrats. This ratification would justify expansion of the global surveillance state. It would usher in a new era of unrestrained and incessant violation of the Nuremberg Code, the most significant and comprehensive medical ethics document we have. Ratification *will* result in a totalitarian state with powers the Third Reich, whose heinous actions inspired the creation of that Code, could only dream of.

To protect its citizens from catastrophic harm, the US must exit the WHO.

6 0 Reply • Share ›

Zarvik
2 days ago

the UK is screwed no guns for self defense a feckless globalist government we are a prison island most of the people dont know or dont care about this..i wonder if Russia is taking in political refugees yet i have no hope for the future

6 0 Reply • Share ›

John Stone Mod → Zarvik
2 days ago

But bear in mind Russia had one of the most draconian Covid shot mandates.

3 1 Reply • Share ›

Voyt Regnal → John Stone
2 days ago edited

...any valid, truthful information source for your statement? They had some mandates in Moscow in the middle of it, otherwise I am not aware of anything "draconian".
Perhaps you may check % of Russian population that went for the jab: 50%.
https://www.statista.com/st...
The number tell for itself. How is it "draconian" in comparison with regimes in North America?

1 0 Reply • Share ›

orwellngood → Voyt Regnal
a day ago

They had strict lockdowns and were among the first to usher in digital QR codes. That only 50% got it says more about the people there than the state. This blog says a lot about Covidian Russia, behind the 'alt' fairy tale we are accustomed to from some who are 'anti' NWO but turn a blind eye when it suits them:
https://edwardslavsquat.sub...

1 1 Reply • Share ›

Voyt Regnal → orwellngood
a day ago edited

Cannot read this 'substack'. Even if I could, it is not a valid source from some kind of anti-russian blogger. Perhaps some lockdowns were applied there but not at such a scale like in China or Canada.
50% tells me that people were not being deprived of human rights, abused, coerced and loosing jobs over toxic jabs like in other western countries, particularly Canada and the USA. You cannot deny it. Did they have in Russia massive protests against transfecting injections like Truckers Convoy and bank accounts closed by regime?

0 0 Reply • Share ›

Tim Mcghie → John Stone
a day ago

And Putin is a Young Global Leader of the WEF

1 1 Reply • Share ›

Voyt Regnal → Tim Mcghie
a day ago edited

absolute nonsens, considering his age...and you just belive Schwab's ravings? Here is this story DEBUNKED decisively:
https://anti-empire.com/why...

0 0 Reply • Share ›

David Butterworth
2 days ago edited

Another step, another nail in the plot, (or is it coffin?) towards the global takeover - as long as the masses remain asleep. To see that that is the case, just look around you.

6 0 Reply • Share ›

jwm79
2 days ago

China's definitely the model. Globalists have realized that mode of operation suits their interests much better than the Western liberal model has, so they're busy dismantling the west while building up China to be "great again" - to our peril. Not a knock on the Chinese people but definitely one against their system and those up top who see in it a new model for humanity.

5 0 Reply • Share ›

Jerry Alatalo
a day ago

Given the better-late-than-never increases in public awareness regarding the covert "lawfare" effort to install a legal Trojan Horse global Fascist dictatorship under the name World Health Organization, it will soon become impossible for declared 2024 U.S. presidential candidates to evade the issuance of in-depth, satisfactory/sufficient public responses to legitimate concerns (namely, the maintenance of democracy, sovereignty and/or freedom inside the respective 194 World Health Organization member states) becoming more intensely (and rightly) raised..

Any American with a functional brain now understands that Robert F. Kennedy Jr. is the sole 2024 candidate for President of the United States who has (thus far) addressed the supremely arrogant, absolutely repulsive, 100% deceptive global Fascist coup attempt ...

Will Donald Trump, Joe Biden, Nikki Haley, Marianne Williamson etc. and freedom-loving Americans (most especially, the 535 elected members of the United States Congress) **continue remaining silent**, - or allowed their remaining absent during the global-consequence controversy - as illustrated by their atrocious silence in the face of the gravely important and disturbing facts, - as presented in this powerful article by Defender reporter Michael Nevradakis?

4 0 Reply • Share ›

ryan
2 days ago

Well. If it's not??? It's the best organization ever created to do what they're .....not doing. Of course.

4 0 Reply • Share ›

Brian
2 days ago

The Mandates were never about health.The shots were never about health. Masks were never about health. WHO is controlled by CCP so yes it is a front organization like the Bill and Melinda Gates foundation WEF NWO,UN ETC.

3 0 Reply • Share ›

Jill Herendeen → Brian
a day ago

Picky point: NOT CCP. Just the Ruling Elites (who'd like you to imagine that CCP is the culprit, because, ANYTHING to justify ANOTHER hyper-profitable war). But yes, mandates were ALL about money & control, nothing else. In fact, nobody YET has isolated a CoVID virus: https://www.fluoridefreepee...

1 0 Reply • Share ›

solatle
2 days ago

So how do we stop these murderers from killing children and other humans.

3 0 Reply • Share ›

Load more comments

Subscribe Privacy Do Not Sell My Data

Children's Health Defense

Children's Health Defense® is a 501(c)(3) non-profit organization. Our mission is to end childhood health epidemics by working aggressively to eliminate harmful exposures, hold those responsible accountable and establish safeguards to prevent future harm. We fight corruption, mass surveillance and censorship that put profits before people as well as advocate for worldwide rights to health freedom and bodily autonomy.

EN

SUBSCRIBE - IT'S FREE! BECOME A MEMBER MEMBER LOGIN

Donations are tax deductible to the full extent of the law. EIN #26-0388604

© 2016 - 2023 Children's Health Defense® • All Rights Reserved